

Tutorial 3 : Reduction and completeness

Computational complexity theory, 5th semester.

2017-2018

Exercice 1 — *Simple reductions*

1. Prove that (SIZE) \preceq (INS).
2. Prove that (SIZE) \preceq (CHROMA).
3. Prove that (INS) \preceq (W-INS).
4. Prove that (3-COL ?) \preceq (SAT).
5. Prove that (COHAM) \preceq (TAU).
6. Prove that (HAM ?) \preceq (LOP).
7. Prove that (HAM ?) \preceq (TSP).
8. Prove that (SAT ?) \preceq (3-SAT ?).
9. Prove that (TAU ?) \preceq (3-TAU ?).
10. Prove that (SAT ?) \preceq (QBF ?).
11. Prove that (TAU ?) \preceq (QBF ?).
12. Prove that (CONNECTIVITY ?) \preceq (MSPT).
13. Prove that (SUDOKU ?) \preceq (CHROMA).
14. Prove that (INS) \preceq (ILP).
15. Prove that (BIPARTI ?) \preceq (2-COL ?).
16. Prove that (2-COL ?) \preceq (BIPARTI ?).
17. Prove that (SUBSET SUM ?) \preceq (PARTITION).
18. Prove that (SUBSET SUM ?) \preceq (KNAPSACK).
19. Prove that (SET COVER) \preceq (DST).
20. Prove that (SET COVER) \preceq (UST).

We know that (SAT) is NP-Complete and (TAU) is Co-NP-Complete. For which of the previous problems can you affirm that they are (Co-)NP-Complete or (Co-)NP-Complete?

Exercice 2 — *(SUBSET SUM is NP-Complete)*

On rappelle que (SUBSET SUM) est le problème suivant : soit Y un ensemble d'entiers et $s \in \mathbb{N}$, existe-t-il un sous-ensemble Z de Y dont la somme fait s ?

We want to prove that (SUBSET SUM) is NP-Complete.

1. Show that this problem is in NP.
2. Let \mathcal{I} be an instance of (3-SAT ?), we want to transform \mathcal{I} into an instance \mathcal{J} of (SUBSET SUM ?) in polynomial time such that \mathcal{I} is positive if and only if \mathcal{J} is positive. We call Y the set of integers of \mathcal{J} and s the sum we want to reach.
 - We assume that \mathcal{I} has n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m .
 - The numbers of the instance \mathcal{J} have $2n + 2m$ figures (and are then between 0 and 10^{n+m}).
 - For each variable x_i of \mathcal{I} , we add an integer x_i to \mathcal{J} where the i -th figure is 1 and where the $n + j$ -th figure is 1 if x_i is in the j -th clause of \mathcal{I} . Every other figure is 0.

- For each variable x_i of \mathcal{I} , we add an integer \bar{x}_i to \mathcal{J} where the i -th figure is 1 and where the $n + j$ -th figure is 1 if \bar{x}_i is in the j -th clause of \mathcal{I} . Every other figure is 0.
 - For each clause C_j of \mathcal{I} , we add two equal numbers r_j and s_j to \mathcal{J} where the $n + j$ -th figure is 1. Every other figure is 0.
 - s is the number where the n first figures are 1 and the m others are 3.
- (a) Describe \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{I} = (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3)$.
 - (b) Describe \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{I} = (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{x}_2)$.
 - (c) Show that the complexity of the transformation is polynomial.
 - (d) Using the two examples, show that, if \mathcal{I} is a positive instance, then \mathcal{J} is a positive instance.
 - (e) We assume that \mathcal{J} is positive, we want to prove that \mathcal{I} is positive too. There exists a subset $Z \subset Y$ such that the sum of the elements of Z is s .
 - i. Show that $x_i \in Z \Leftrightarrow \bar{x}_i \notin Z$.
 - ii. Let $C_j = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$ be a clause of \mathcal{I} , show that the integer l_1 or l_2 or l_3 is in X .
 - iii. Deduce that \mathcal{I} can be satisfied.
3. Deduce from the previous question that (SUBSET SUM) is NP-Complete.
 4. For which of the problems of Exercise 1 can you affirm that they are NP-Complete or NP-Hard?

Exercise 3 — (SET COVER is NP-Complete)

On rappelle que (SUBSET SUM) est le problème suivant : soit X un ensemble, S un ensemble de sous-ensembles de X et $K \in \mathbb{N}$, existe-t-il un sous-ensemble C de S de taille inférieure à K couvrant X ? (c'est à dire que pour tout $x \in X$, il existe $s \in C$ tel que $x \in s$).

We want to prove that (SET COVER) is NP-Complete.

1. Show that this problem is in NP.
2. Let \mathcal{I} be an instance of (3-SAT?), we want to transform \mathcal{I} into an instance \mathcal{J} of (SET COVER) in polynomial time such that \mathcal{I} is positive if and only if \mathcal{J} is positive. We call X the set of elements of \mathcal{J} , S the set of subsets of X and K the number of sets we can use.
 - We assume that \mathcal{I} has n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m .
 - X will contain $n + m$ elements e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+m} and S with contains $2n$ sets.
 - For each variable x_i of \mathcal{I} , we add to S a set x_i and a set \bar{x}_i containing e_i .
 - If x_i is in C_j , add e_{n+j} to the set x_i .
 - If \bar{x}_i is in C_j , add e_{n+j} to the set \bar{x}_i .
 - $K = n$.
 - (a) Describe \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{I} = (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3)$.
 - (b) Describe \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{I} = (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{x}_2)$.
 - (c) Show that the complexity of the transformation is polynomial.
 - (d) Using the two examples, show that, if \mathcal{I} is a positive instance, then \mathcal{J} is a positive instance.
 - (e) We assume that \mathcal{J} is positive, we want to prove that \mathcal{I} is positive too. There exists a subset $C \subset S$ such that each element of X is in at least one set of C and C contains at most K sets.
 - i. Show that $x_i \in C \Leftrightarrow \bar{x}_i \notin C$.
 - ii. Let $C_j = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$ be a clause of \mathcal{I} , show that $l_1 \in C$ or $l_2 \in C$ or $l_3 \in C$.
 - iii. Deduce that \mathcal{I} can be satisfied.
3. Deduce from the previous question that (SET COVER) is NP-Complete.
4. For which of the problems of Exercise 1 can you affirm that they are NP-Complete or NP-Hard?

Exercise 4 — (*CHROMA is NP-Complete*)

On rappelle que (CHROMA) est le problème suivant : soit $G = (V, E)$ un graphe et $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \leq |V|$, peut-on colorier V avec K couleurs de sorte que deux nœuds voisins dans G n'aient pas la même couleur.

We want to prove that (CHROMA) is NP-Complete.

1. Show that this problem is in NP.
2. Let \mathcal{I} be an instance of (3-SAT ?), we want to transform \mathcal{I} into an instance \mathcal{I} of (CHROMA) in polynomial time such that \mathcal{I} is positive if and only if \mathcal{J} is positive. We call $G = (V, E)$ the graph of \mathcal{J} and K the number of colors we can use.
 - We assume that \mathcal{I} has n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m .
 - G will contain $3n + m + 1$ nodes.
 - Add to G a clique of $n + 1$ nodes y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1} .
 - For each variable x_i of \mathcal{I} , we add to V a node x_i and a node \bar{x}_i .
 - For each clause C_j of \mathcal{I} , we add a node C_j to V .
 - We link x_i to \bar{x}_i .
 - If $i \neq j$ and $j < n + 1$, we link x_i to y_j and \bar{x}_i to y_j .
 - We link y_{n+1} to C_j for every j .
 - If x_i is not in C_j , link x_i and C_j .
 - If \bar{x}_i is not in C_j , link \bar{x}_i and C_j .
 - $K = n + 1$.
- (a) Describe \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{I} = (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3)$.
- (b) Describe \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{I} = (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{x}_2)$.
- (c) Show that the complexity of the transformation is polynomial.
- (d) Using the two examples, show that, if \mathcal{I} is a positive instance, then \mathcal{J} is a positive instance.
- (e) We assume that \mathcal{J} is positive, we want to prove that \mathcal{I} is positive too. There exists a coloration of G with at most K colors. Let c_v be the color of the node v .
 - i. Show that $c_{x_i} = c_{y_i}$ and $c_{\bar{x}_i} = c_{y_{n+1}}$ or $c_{x_i} = c_{y_{n+1}}$ and $c_{\bar{x}_i} = c_{y_i}$.
 - ii. Let $C_j = (l_1 \vee l_2 \vee l_3)$ be a clause of \mathcal{I} , show that $c_{C_j} = c_{l_1}$ or $c_{C_j} = c_{l_2}$ or $c_{C_j} = c_{l_3}$.
 - iii. Deduce that \mathcal{I} can be satisfied.
3. Deduce from the previous question that (CHROMA) is NP-Complete.
4. For which of the problems of Exercise 1 can you affirm that they are NP-Complete or NP-Hard?

Exercise 5 — *Some proof*

1. Show that the reduction relation is transitive.
2. If we assume that there exists a problem $\Pi = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_Y, \mathcal{L}_N)$ such that Π and $\Pi^c = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_N, \mathcal{L}_Y)$ are NP-Complete, then show that $\text{NP} = \text{Co-NP}$.
3. An oracle for Π is defined as a machine that solve in constant time the problem Π . What would happen if we have an oracle for (3-SAT ?) ?

Exercise 6 — *Turing reduction*

1. Show that the polynomial reduction of Karp is a special case of polynomial Turing reduction.
2. Show that, for every problem Π in NP, there exists a problem of Co-NP such that there exists a polynomial Turing reduction from that problem to Π , and conversely.
3. Deduce that NP and Co-NP are equivalent if we use the polynomial Turing reduction.
4. Show that, if $\text{NP} \neq \text{Co-NP}$, then there does not exist any Co-NP problem which is NP-hard and conversely if we use the polynomial Karp reduction.